CHARLOTTE-MECKLENBURG CONTINUUM OF CARE (CoC) 2018 NOTICE OF FUNDING APPLICATION (NOFA)

Methodology for assigning scores

- 1) Each performance measure will be scored with a goal of placing an equal number of projects in four, tiered categories.
- 2) To that end, projects will be scored in relation to each other as follows*:
 - Step 1: All responses will be place in numerical order.
 - Step 2: The ranked list will then be broken down into 4 groups**
 - Step 3: Projects will be assigned score according to the group they are in.
 - highest group numbers will receive max points
 - next group will receive 75% of max score
 - third group will receive 50% of max score
 - final group will receive 25% of max score

Note:

- Rounding principals will be utilized if response is not a whole number: down for .49 or under; up for .50 or higher.
- Projects that consolidate projects will have each project scored individually. The average score for each component will then be computed. That average scored will be used when scoring projects in relation to each other (bullet 2 above).
- 3) Each question with a narrative response will be assigned a score (up to the maximum score available) by review committee members. The average of the assigned score will be used on the overall project scorecard.

^{*}For exits to PH, project will be scored with same PH component (RRH or PSH)

^{**} If the total number of projects responses is not divisible by 4, then excel will perform a statistical analysis and group projects into quartiles based on a bell curve methodology.